



Solid phase microextraction (SPME) for extraction of volatile oxidation products from complex food systems – Pros and cons

Jacobsen, Charlotte; Horn, Anna Frisenfeldt; Lu, Henna Fung Sieng; Berner, Lis

Publication date:
2012

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Jacobsen, C., Horn, A. F., Lu, H. F. S., & Berner, L. (2012). *Solid phase microextraction (SPME) for extraction of volatile oxidation products from complex food systems – Pros and cons*. Abstract from 10th Euro Fed Lipid Congress, Cracow, Poland.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Solid phase microextraction (SPME) for extraction of volatile oxidation products from complex food systems – pros and cons

Charlotte Jacobsen, Anna F. Horn, Henna Lu and Lis Berner
National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark

Volatile secondary lipid oxidation products can be identified and quantified by GC-FID or GC-MS. An extraction step is, however, needed before GC analysis. A range of different extraction methods are available such as static headspace, dynamic headspace and SPME. Each of these methods has its advantages and drawbacks. Among the advantages of the SPME method are its high sensitivity compared to static headspace and that it is less laborious than the dynamic headspace method. For these reasons, the use of SPME has increased in both academia and industry during the last decade.

The extraction efficiency obtained with the SPME method can be affected by different factors such as fiber type, stirring of sample versus not stirring, extraction temperature and time. These factors can easily be controlled and optimized to obtain the highest possible extraction efficiency. However, extraction efficiency can also be affected by uncontrollable factors such as batch to batch variation between fibers of the same type and presence of compounds in the sample matrix, which competes with the compounds of interest for adsorption to the SPME fiber. The latter factor is particularly a problem when SPME is used for analysis of lipid oxidation during storage of complex food matrices. Examples on how uncontrollable factors have affected results obtained with the SPME method in the authors' lab will be given and the appropriateness of the SPME method for the analysis of volatile oxidation products in selected food systems will be discussed.